62 Grand Street, Albany, New York 12207*Telephone: 518-465-4162*Fax: 518-465-0812

E-mail: lwvny.org*Website: www.lwvny.org

ETHICS

The League has long felt that the laws of New York State inadequately define, monitor or discipline unethical behavior, in the public sector, and has lobbied since 1954 for legislation regarding conflict of interest, financial disclosure, revolving-door prohibitions and related areas of conduct for state employees and office holders.

The 1987 Ethics in Government Act, which took effect January 1, 1989, attempted to change the ethical environment in New York State significantly by providing the public with closer scrutiny of the financial activities of elected and appointed New York State officials and certain of their employees.

The conflict of interest prohibitions and financial disclosure measures of the act were strongly advocated by the League and came after many false starts and long months of negotiations between the houses of the legislature and the governor. However, its passage was followed almost immediately by proposed revisions that would lessen its impact.

In 1991, legislation was introduced as a result of a Governor's Temporary State Commission on Local Municipal Ethics, which contained regulations concerning financial disclosure, conflicts of interest, involvement in political campaigning and campaign contributions. No action was taken by the legislature.

The League continued to advocate for a tightening of ethics legislation during the remainder of the 1990's. Much advocacy during this period was done with the media and editorial boards, however, Governor Pataki and the legislature continued to ignore us.

In 2004, prison convictions, scandals, and other complaints of ethical misconduct appeared on the front pages of New York State's newspapers. As a result, how New York State regulates political ethics again became a front burner issue in the legislature. Because New York's ethics laws are loophole-riddled and poorly-if at-all enforced, the need for legislative reform became ever more clear to everyone but legislative leaders.

During the 2005 legislative session, little was accomplished. However, one weakness in the law was strengthened. New York State's ethics law previously limited enforcement only to those who were still working for government. Once a government employee left public service, the short arm of the ethics law could not reach them. In order to ensure that government officials do not flaunt the public's trust, the League and other civic groups, argued that New York State's ethics law should be enforceable even after public employment. A limited version of reform was approved that closed this egregious loophole.

Because only modest reforms to the state's ethics laws were done in 2005, there was increasing demand for further reforms to the ethics law in the 2006. The good government groups, led by the League, NYPIRG, and Common Cause advocated for the following reforms:

- Restrict gifts from lobbyist to lawmakers and other top policy makers.
- Ban on lawmakers accepting honoraria.

IMPACT ON ISSUES 2005-2007

62 Grand Street, Albany, New York 12207*Telephone: 518-465-4162*Fax: 518-465-0812 E-mail: lwvny.org*Website: www.lwvny.org

- Create a new, independent ethics oversight agency for both the executive and legislative branches.
- Establish a full one-year "cooling off" period for all legislative staffers and top party officials to prohibit them from lobbying immediately after leaving their government jobs.
- Restrict campaign contributions from lobbyists and those receiving government contracts.
- Strengthen ban on use of campaign contributions for personal use.
- Require disclosures of and recusal for potential conflicts of interest.

 (For further information see A Work in Progress, January 2006 Blair Horner, NYPIRG)

The 2nd Annual Reform Lobby Day was held in May 2006, where citizens including many members of local Leagues, came to Albany to press for the above reforms. Although legislator's rhetoric in this election year was: "I'm a true reformer", no legislative action was taken during the 2006 session.

As a candidate for governor, Eliot Spitzer campaigned on an agenda for reform including: ethics reform. On January 23, 2007, the newly elected Governor and the legislative leaders unveiled a three-way agreement to reform the state's ethics and lobbying laws and create a "Commission on Public Integrity." Although this agreement between the three leaders was done primarily behind closed doors and we, the good government groups, expressed our concerns about the closed process, the groups gave preliminary approval to this proposed legislation. We also requested that legislative hearings be held to review key elements of the proposed legislation. The groups were particularly concerned with the proposed "Commission on Public Integrity" and urged further public deliberation on the proposal before a vote was taken in the legislature. Unfortunately, the Governor and the legislative leadership did not hold the hearings as we had requested, and on February 14, 2007, the both houses of the legislature unanimously passed and the Governor signed this legislation into law.

Provisions of the bill, agreed to by the legislature and Gov. Eliot Spitzer, would:

- Ban all gifts of more than a nominal value from registered lobbyists to public officials;
- Strictly limit lobbyists from paying or reimbursing travel and accommodation expenses of a public official;
- Strengthen the "revolving-door" provisions that apply to legislative employees by prohibiting for a "cooling off" period of lobbying before the Legislature for two years after leaving their government position;
- Ban lawmakers from accepting honoraria;
- Forbid elected officials and candidates for elected local, state or federal office from appearing in taxpayer-funded advertisements;
- Ban gifts from the spouses or children of lobbyists to the spouses or children of public officials in order to gain influence
- Toughens penalties for ethics and lobbying law;
- Creates a permanent watchdog on ethics issues by merging the Temporary State Commission on Lobbying and the State Ethics Commission into a new entity, the Commission on Public Integrity.

The new commission would have 13 members, with seven appointed by the governor, one by each legislative leader, one by the comptroller, and one by the attorney general. In addition, the Joint

62 Grand Street, Albany, New York 12207*Telephone: 518-465-4162*Fax: 518-465-0812

E-mail: lwvny.org*Website: www.lwvny.org

Legislative Ethics Committee would be reconstituted as a nine-member commission, with four legislators appointed by legislative leaders, four non-legislators chosen by legislative leaders and one legislator jointly appointed by the Assembly speaker and temporary president of the Senate.

Also under the bill, both the Commission on Public Integrity and the Joint Legislative Ethics Commission would be required to maintain Web sites and make publicly available notices of reasonable cause to initiate an investigation, disposition agreements, settlement agreements and summaries of advice.

The League and other good government groups would much have preferred an independent entity to monitor watchdog ethical issues. We will continue to monitor this new agency.

Lobbying Regulations

In 1995 the League, Common Cause, and NYPIRG supported draft legislation, which would reform the lobbying regulations in NYS. The proposal called for an outright prohibition on lobbyists from direct campaign contributions to state lawmakers, a prohibition on lobbyists from offering gifts of any size to lawmakers or top policy makers, and a requirement that lobbyists' clients annually disclose the amount of campaign contributions they gave to state legislatures' re-election efforts. In addition, it would create a permanent Lobby Commission, which has been operating since 1977 on a temporary basis and has required an extension every two years. Giving the Commission permanent status and strengthening its investigative powers would constitute a major reform in improving the climate in which legislation is enacted in NYS.

In 1996, United We Stand America/NYS joined with the League, Common Cause, and NYPIRG to form the Take Back Democracy Coalition, and continued to call for lobbying reform. In 1997, with the "Temporary" State Commission on Lobbying due to expire on December 31, the opportunity to reform the lobbying laws in New York State took on a new urgency. The Integrity in Government legislation was introduced by Assembly members Grannis and Galef. This legislation built on the 1995 draft legislation, also supported by the League. Different in the 1997 legislation was the extension of lobbying regulations to localities. Local Leagues across the state held press conferences and lobbied county legislatures to pass resolutions calling on their state representatives to pass this legislation. After a session-long media blitz and much lobbying of all legislators and leadership, including the governor's office, both houses of the legislature, in the final hours of the 1997 session, passed another two-year extension, until March of 2000, of the "Temporary" State Commission on Lobbying, now 20 years old.

After intensive lobbying by the League and its coalition partners AND a major lobbying scandal involving tobacco giant Phillip Morris, limited lobby reform was passed in December 1999. This "reform" provides greater disclosure of lobbying activity and extends the law to include local government lobbying. The League wanted much more. We lobbied for an extension of the regulations to include state agencies and a ban on gifts from lobbyists to legislators. We also wanted a restriction on campaign fundraising during the legislative session. This deal was struck between Governor Pataki and Assembly Speaker Silver to protect their own constituents.

IMPACT ON ISSUES 2005-2007

62 Grand Street, Albany, New York 12207*Telephone: 518-465-4162*Fax: 518-465-0812 E-mail: lwvny.org*Website: www.lwvny.org

E-man. iwvny@iwvny.org website. www.iwvny.org

Following much media pressure, the Senate voluntarily pledged not to accept gifts and expensive dinners from lobbyists. The Assembly refused to do the same.

This new lobbying "reform" is now in effect, and will remain so until December 2007.

During the 2000 session the League attempted to secure the inclusion of procurement lobbying disclosure of State Agencies into the procurement law which was about to expire; instead the legislature merely extended the procurement law for another 14 months.

No action was taken on lobbying reform of State Agency procurement during the 2001-2002 session; however, because of several "government scandals" procurement lobbying became a visible issue in the Legislative Session 2003. Early in 2003, the League, NYPIRG, and Common Cause secured majority Senate sponsorship to expand the definition of lobbying to include contract procurement by State Agencies. The session was spent lobbying both houses to pass "same as" legislation. Following much media work and editorial support throughout the state, it appeared that legislation to include State Agency procurement lobbying would actually pass. Very late the last night of the 2003 session, the assembly did pass its bill and we moved our efforts to the State Senate. Four hours later, in the early morning hours of the last day of session, the State Senate introduced legislation under new sponsorship. This legislation advanced much weaker legislation and removed the existing members of the Lobbying Commission.

Cloaked, as a "reform" measure the legislation would seriously undermine the work of the Lobbying Commission. It would "vacate" the current members of the Lobbying Commission. The bill also sets a much higher standard for the Commissions' investigators to punish lobbying violations. It does this by requiring the lobbyist "intentionally" violated the law, instead of the current "willfully" violated the law.

The League and NYPIRG tried for the rest of the night to stop the legislation in the senate, however, it did pass. The Assembly, however, went home the next day without addressing the Senate version of the bill. The status quo in procurement lobbying continues. It is speculated that Governor Pataki put pressure on the State Senate to halt any disclosure of procurement lobbying of his state agencies.

During the summer of 2003 the League, NYPIRG and Common Cause mounted a media campaign to address procurement lobbying when they returned for the fall session. However, the Assembly never returned for a fall session and the Senate in its one-day fall session did not address the issue.

The 2004 legislative session saw agreement by the Governor and the Senate leadership on legislation that was narrow and weak compared to the Assembly lobbying reform legislation which expanded the definition of lobbying by any public official relating to the procurement of goods or services, it also covered executive orders and tribal-state compacts. The Senate bill, which was supported by the Governor, expanded lobbying oversight only. However, these bills could not be reconciled in Conference Committee and no action was taken.

In 2005 legislative session, New York State lobbyists and their clients reported spending well over \$149 million persuading and cajoling state officials to grant favors or block policies that may affect

62 Grand Street, Albany, New York 12207*Telephone: 518-465-4162*Fax: 518-465-0812

E-mail: lwvny.org*Website: www.lwvny.org

them. The common Albany practice of holding political fundraisers that are attending by professional lobbyists exacerbates the public perception that lobbyists are "buying" access to elected official. In a typical session, lawmakers are schedule to be in Albany for 60 days, including 40 nights. During that time, as many as 200 Albany-based fundraisers can occur.

The League and its good government colleagues put forward the following reform measures to restore the public's confidence in their government:

- End Albany's "pay to play" culture. Lobbyists in Albany curry favor with public officials with large campaign contributions to their campaign committees and to the legislative leadership committees known as "housekeeping" accounts. Through such contributions, lobbyists create an uneven playing field that allows them or their clients to have greater access to officials than members of the public.
- A ban on gifts from lobbyists to lawmakers and other top policymakers. Allowing lobbyists to offer gifts to lawmakers is inappropriate. It was, in fact, at the heart of the Philip Some states have a "zero tolerance" standard for gift giving. Morris/lobbying scandal. Massachusetts, South Carolina, and Wisconsin are such states. New York State should adopt this standard.
- A ban on lawmakers accepting honoraria. Giving speeches and being available to the public are part of a legislator's official duties. Allowing groups to offer state lawmakers honoraria allows special interests to subsidize the income of these officials. In doing so, the practice creates an obvious conflict-of-interest. Twenty-three states prohibit honoraria if they are offered in connection with a legislator's official duties. New York State is one of the remainder that does not. It should.
- Create a new, independent ethics oversight agency for both the executive and legislative branches. Thirty-nine states provide external oversight of state government through an ethics commission. New York is one of six of those states (the others are Illinois, Michigan, North Carolina and Ohio) whose commissions do not have authority over the legislature. New York State should create a new, independent ethics watchdog for both the executive and legislative branches.
- The establishment of a full one year "cooling off" period for all legislative staffers and top party officials. New York State currently places such limits on state legislators, elected officials in the executive branch and staff of the executive branch to begin lobbying immediately after leaving their government jobs. However, legislative staff and party officials enjoy far weaker restrictions. A minimum one-year "cooling off" period would ensure that no one could immediately cash in on political contacts by lobbying their former colleagues.
- The elimination of the loophole that prohibits prosecutors from investigating ethical misconduct. If either the state attorney general or local prosecutors wish to investigate political corruption, there should be no legal barrier to such activities.
- Ensure that the public knows the fate of prosecutorial actions. The enforcing agency must be required to announce the outcome of any publicly filed complaint as well as other information that will allow the public to know of the agency's decisions.

The legislation session of 2005 did see agreement on legislation that ended the five-year fight over the expansion of the lobbying law. The new law went into effect on January 1, 2006. This was a hard fought for and won League victory.

62 Grand Street, Albany, New York 12207*Telephone: 518-465-4162*Fax: 518-465-0812 E-mail: lwvny.org*Website: www.lwvny.org

Key elements in the new law include:

- Definition of lobbying was expanded to cover lobbying to influence executive orders, statetribal compacts, and procurement decision of governmental agencies. The old monitored lobbying efforts that targeted state and local government legislation, utility rates, agency rules and regulations.
- Procurement lobbying; monitoring. The new law addresses procurement lobbying differently than any other type of advocacy. Contracts worth less than \$15,000, contracts relative to procurements for "preferred sources" (typically entities whose workers have handicaps), intergovernmental agreements, certain railroad and utility accounts, eminent domain transaction and grants are all exempt.

The entire lobbying law expires on December 31, 2007. The League will monitor the new law to examine whether additional changes are needed.