

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT STUDY: PART III

The final part of the study is to consider how to balance the policy goals which we pursue with the procedural issues which we are deciding in this study.

We are asked to decide if League members believe that we should support proposed amendments to the Constitution which further our goals, even if the wording of the amendment, or the process to be followed, is not in line with the criteria which we have determined to be important.

So, in other words, if a constitutional amendment is proposed on an issue upon which we have a policy position, should we consider supporting a constitutional amendment that will advance a League position even if there are significant problems with the actual amendment as proposed.

Likewise, should we consider supporting an amendment which will advance a League position even if it is being put forward by a procedural process the League would otherwise oppose?