#### **Pro/Con for Short-Form Vermont Privatization Concurrence**

### 1. Should LWV NYS consider healthcare like other programs that provide and protect basic human needs, a public good?

The LWV NYS Healthcare position asserts that NYS must assure high quality care that is affordable, accessible, and able to protect the health of NYS' most vulnerable urban and rural populations. Furthermore, it favors funding	We cannot afford to make unlimited healthcare a public good for all NYS residents. Taxes will rise. Wait times may increase. Universal coverage would further ration resources and treatments, even for those able to pay.
supported in part by broad-based and progressive state income taxes with health insurance access independent of employment status.	If they have insurance and can't afford the deductibles and copays, they should take advantage of less expensive plans.
sialus.	So long as the US does not treat healthcare as a basic human need, NYS cannot.

### 2. If healthcare is a public good for people on Medicare (over 65 or disabled), should it be a public good for everyone?

Everyone should have access to healthcare without coverage gaps or limits due to age, loss of	People who are young and healthy shouldn't have to pay higher premiums to cover the medical costs
employment, catastrophic illness or accident,	of people who are old and ill.
exceeding income or asset limits for public	
assistance, etc.	It's not fair to make society pay for people's poor
Look of boolthoors offects the whole community	lifestyle, diet, or poor insurance purchase decisions.
Lack of healthcare affects the whole community and cost-sharing (deductibles, co-pays, co-	
insurance, etc.) are so high that people are not	We as a society pool funds gathered over a lifetime
accessing the care they need.	of employment, to provide Medicare, but it is not
	sustainable.
Ensuring that the healthcare system keeps	
everyone well, not contagious, and prepared for	
public health emergencies, serves everyone.	healthcare in a free-market economy and we have no social obligation to do so; however, we treat the
Communities benefit from people who are	
pregnant or raising families getting the care they	
need.	
	Healthcare is now 20% of GDP; universal
Economies benefit from adults being healthy	healthcare would crater our economy.
enough to be fully productive.	

#### 3. Should people be limited in their choice of doctor based on what they can afford for insurance, and what employment contracts doctors may sign?

	Corporations assert that they can manage care more efficiently & effectively by controlling cost
to choose their doctor based on factors that they value (recommendations, distance, bedside manner) and not be limited by insurance networks	If a patient's doctor is not in their insurance

### 4. Should healthcare decisions be made by patients and their doctors, rather than insurers and for-profit corporations?

Research shows that compared to people in countries with better outcomes and lower costs, US residents under-utilize health services, seeing doctors less frequently and having shorter hospital stays. In the US, unlike other developed countries, the decision to seek basic care includes concern about unaffordable cost. Patients whose lives are at stake should make healthcare decisions with their chosen healthcare providers, who have the training and experience to guide them, involving trusted advisors or family as the patient chooses Physicians make their decisions based on medical standards of care. These decisions should not vary based on the patient's income or insurance coverage.	Today, the insurer makes many decisions about provider access and treatment because the insurer determines what is covered and its cost.	Patients will seek as much care as they can get, which is wasteful and leads to over-using healthcare resources.
	countries with better outcomes and lower costs, US residents under-utilize health services, seeing doctors less frequently and having shorter hospital stays. In the US, unlike other developed countries, the decision to seek basic care includes concern about unaffordable cost. Patients whose lives are at stake should make healthcare decisions with their chosen healthcare providers, who have the training and experience to guide them, involving trusted advisors or family as the patient chooses Physicians make their decisions based on medical standards of care. These decisions	providing more care than is needed to increase their earnings, to protect themselves from malpractice lawsuits, and to ensure good results on customer surveys. Private entities know how to manage efficiently. A corporation can reduce overall costs by over-riding provider decisions that cause over-utilization, by providing incentives to reduce the amount of care provided, and by ensuring only medically necessary care is provided. We should trust the free-market mechanisms our economy is based on. Without corporate restraints US residents would over-utilize health services even more than they

# 5. Should allocation of healthcare resources be made based on fiduciary responsibility to patients and communities — or to shareholders of for-profit corporations who own the physician group, the hospital, the clinic, the nursing home and have a right to profit?

Equity is crucial in the distribution of basic human needs, but not in "free market" healthcare where middlemen (insurers) determine and collect payments and (without medical expertise) decide the health services to be rendered. Patients are not customers; providers are not salesmen. Patient healthcare should be allocated based on medical need and decided by clinical standards of care, not on ability to pay. Healthcare resources for communities should be allocated based on public health assessment of community needs, not its wealth. Free market principles distort the allocation of public goods by seeking to maximize profit rather than public benefit.	The majority of hospitals in the US are non-profit already and many corporate entities, including private equity corporations, have physicians on their boards of directors. Nothing keeps funds collected for the purpose of providing healthcare from paying for private profit, as we do with prisons and road construction. Public-private partnerships marry the best of both worlds: public financing and private efficiency Duplicating healthcare administration activities is the price we pay for the better service and customer-aligned care a competitive environment provides. Spending tax-payer dollars wisely means letting the free-market work for us, letting efficient corporate entities be rewarded for their good management.

#### 5. Is there any evidence that profit-seeking is limiting access and affordability?

As many as half of insured NYS residents report skipping medications or follow-up care because of	If New Yorkers were healthier, they wouldn't be so dependent on accessing healthcare.
cost. Significant disparities in access and outcome persist (maternal mortality, medical debt, distance from care) for various vulnerable populations.	The populations with the worst health have had worse health for generations and it runs in families. Whether it's a matter of genetics or poor decision- making, corporate profits don't cause most health
Costs are out-pacing inflation — as are profits (especially for insurers, e.g., United Health). The NYS Budget is under pressure to reduce	disparities, such as those stemming from increased and mismanaged chronic disease among marginalized population segments.
benefits, while paying out billions in corporate profits/excess revenues and administration that give no value.	Healthcare costs in the NYS are higher for many reasons besides profit-seeking, such as the cost of malpractice insurance and a widespread higher standard of living.
6. Should there be public participation in the oversight of healthcare policy?	

#### Because the public must live with the medical, The general public doesn't know enough about financial, and societal impacts of healthcare healthcare policy to contribute meaningfully. policy, healthcare policy should be transparent Public participation in oversight could waste time and subject to regulatory criteria to ensure and funds in lengthy decision making or misdirect protection of the public good. resources based on non-relevant criteria. Further, healthcare funded by tax dollars should Public policy should recognize that corporations be held to high standards, particularly around have great experience both in managing equitable access and quality. healthcare costs and in doing so profitably.

## 7. What would it mean that a for-profit entity would "fail to deliver programs that provide and protect basic human needs"?

Examples of such failures — to greater or lesser degrees — abound in healthcare: nursing homes with higher death rates and more frequent hospitalizations (from falls, bed sores, infection), with insufficient staff to attend to residents, with sweetheart for-profit contracts. Similarly, insurers may charge a premium for managed care they don't provide, simply administering fee-for-service contracted labor, while reducing access to needed care via hurdles and delays.	<ul> <li>and protecting against fraud requires strict tests of eligibility and medical need.</li> <li>Further, over-utilization is a driver of cost that must be stopped or slowed, even if doing so triggers complaints from patients and their families who feel entitled to more than is efficient to provide</li> </ul>
---	---

8. Isn't de-privatization an extreme step?	
All LWVs assess every bill prior to supporting, opposing, or seeking to amend it. LWVNY will assess if de-privatization is warranted, e.g., in failing to serve the public good by failing in	Governments should not take over ownership or management of private enterprises. That is the definition of socialism.
equitable health access or quality. If regulation, constraint, or oversight could fix the	Healthcare facilities and businesses have a right to make a profit, and healthy competition focused on profit is what makes the free market work.
failure, the League could advocate for that — after determining its cost/benefit in comparison to deprivatization.	When a market is not working, it may be caused by over-regulation that has stifled innovation and new technological solutions. Adding regulation or
Public policy that funds assets/services that serve basic needs with taxpayer dollars requires fiduciary responsibility to the taxpayer/public purse.	depriving corporations of the revenue needed to engage in free-market practices is short-sided and will lead to further inefficiencies and poor performance, not better.
9. Should all healthcare be de-privatized	to remove "profit" from healthcare?
Not at all. Corporations composed of providers exercising fiduciary duties to patients may earn	Governments should not take over private enterprises. That is the definition of socialism.
more because corporate middlemen no longer "squeeze" them. Providers who serve patients well are serving the public good, not failing to serve it. At risk of de-privatization are corporations that — to increase profits — limit, delay, or refuse clinical standard of care.	This is a slippery slope that needs guardrails. The threat of de-privatization may cause private entities to refuse to make the investments required to improve healthcare services or to refuse to invest in leading edge treatments or medications, reducing quality of care.